

## Shear Strength of #57 Aggregates (Aggregate #A15 – TDOT Region 3)

## Institute of Geotechnology

Table 1. Aggregate basic properties.

ruble 1. 1155105atte busie properties.		
Property	Value	
Specific Gravity for particles coarser than sieve #4 (ASTM-C127)	2.760	
Specific Gravity for particles finer than sieve #4 (ASTM-D854)	2.860	
Average Specific Gravity of Solids	2.761	
Maximum Dry unit weight, Ib/ft³ (ASTM-D4253)	103.921	
Minimum Dry unit weight, Ib/ft³ (ASTM-D4254)	91.020	
Maximum void ratio (ASTM-D4254)	0.893	
Minimum void ratio (ASTM-D4253)	0.658	
D <sub>85</sub> (mm)	21.90	
D <sub>60</sub> (mm)	17.23	
D <sub>30</sub> (mm)	13.00	
D <sub>10</sub> (mm)	6.90	
Coefficient of uniformity, Cu	2.50	
Coefficient of curvature, C <sub>c</sub>	1.42	




Figure 1. Gradation and size limits for A15 aggregate.

Table 2. Chemical composition of A15 aggregate.

Mineral	Percentage (%)
Calcium Carbonate (CaCo <sub>3</sub> )	7.20
Calcium Magnesium Carbonate	82.66
(Dolomite, CaMg(Co <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub> )	
Silicon Oxide (Quartz, SiO <sub>2</sub> )	10.14
Others	0

Figure 1 shows the gradation of the aggregate and Table 1 summarizes its physical properties. The chemical composition of the tested aggregate was identified using powder X-ray diffraction (Table 2) where multiple aggregate particles were ground into powder to a size passing US sieve #200 (75  $\mu m$ ). The test was conducted at the Institute for Advanced Material and Manufacturing (IAMM), University of Tennessee-Knoxville (UTK).

The morphology of the aggregate was measured using 3D computed tomography (CT) images for a representative sample with a diameter of 2 in. and a height of 10 in. (Figure 2). Sphericity index ( $I_S$ ) refers to particles' 3D general shape regardless of angularity characteristics of corners and edges.  $I_S$  is calculated as the value of the actual volume of a particle divided by the volume of the sphere inscribed within the particle. Roundness index (I<sub>R</sub>) is defined as the ratio of the particle's actual surface area divided by the surface area of a sphere with a size equal to the average size of the particle using its three principal axes. Form (F = shortest particle axis/ longest axis) is another shape parameter to describe granular materials. The mean values for morphology indices are  $I_S = 2.454$ ,  $I_R = 0.926$ , and F = 0.529. For more details, the reader is referred to

## https://alshibli.utk.edu/research/morphology-of-granular-materials/.

The shear strength of the aggregate was measured using a special large-scale direct shear (LSDS) apparatus at two relative densities ( $\bar{D}_r = 80\%$  to represent dense specimens and  $D_r = 30\%$  for loose specimens). The aggregate was tested at normal stresses ( $\sigma$ ) of 35, 70, 105, and 140 kPa (~ 5, 10, 15, and 20 psi) to represent typical stress ranges for fill aggregates behind a retaining wall. Figures 3 and 4 show the relationship between shear and normal displacements and the shear versus shear stress respectively. Figure 5 and Table 3 present a summary of peak state friction angles, critical state friction angles, and dilatancy angles in relation to normal stress. Figure 6 displays the same values with wall height. Table 4 lists the recommended Friction angle for the design of different wall heights.



Figure 2. CT of aggregates.




Figure 3. Shear displacement versus normal displacement.

Figure 4. Shear strain versus shear stress relationship.

14

Peak state friction angle - (Dr = 80%)Critical state friction angle - (Dr = 80%)Critical state friction angle - (Dr = 80%)Critical state friction angle - (Dr = 80%)Dalatancy Angle

10  $\Phi_P = 89.08 \ H^{-0.13}$   $R^2 = 0.945$   $\Phi_{ccs} = 71.14 \ H^{-0.10}$   $R^2 = 0.745$ 




Figure 6. Friction and dilatancy angles versus wall height.

Figure 5. Linear and nonlinear Mohr Coulomb envelopes.

Table 3. Summary of measured friction and dilatancy angles and the power model that relates normal stress ( $\sigma$ ) to shear stress ( $\tau$ ).

Peak state friction angle (Power model) $(\phi_p)$				Critical state friction angle (Power model) $(\phi_{cs})$				Linear Mohr Coulomb for Critical state friction angle	Dilatancy angle (Power Fitting) (ψ)			
$\tau = 9.52$	$2 \ \sigma^{0.64}$ ,	$R^2 = 0.9$	982	$\tau = 3.24 \ \sigma^{0.80} \ , \ R^2 = 0.975$						$r^{-0.43}$ , $R^2 = 0.962$		
$\sigma = 35$ kPa	70	105	140	35	70	105	140	$\phi = 52.3^{\circ}$ $R^{2} = 0.948$	35	70	105	140
69.5°	62.3°	61.7°	57.5°	60.2°	55.8°	53.9°	52.6°		13.3°	9.9°	9.4°	7.8°
07.5	02.3	2.3	37.3	57.1°	51.2°	51.1°	49.9°		13.3	7.7		

Table 4. Values of recommended friction and dilatancy angles for different wall heights.

Wall Height (ft)	Recommended Friction Angle	Recommended Dilatancy Angle
< 10 ft	56	11
15 ft	54	10
20 ft	52	9
25 ft	51	8
30 ft	50	7

For more information check <a href="https://alshibli.utk.edu/research/">https://alshibli.utk.edu/research/</a> or contact Professor Khalid Alshibli, Email: Alshibli@utk.edu